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The title compound, $\{[\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amino]ethanol $\left.-\kappa^{3} N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ tricarbonylrhenium(I) bromide methanol solvate, $\left[\mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Br} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{O}$, has been prepared in almost quantitative yield by reacting $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]$ with the ligand $N, N$-bispicolyl-2-ethanolamine in refluxing methanol. The X-ray structure revealed that the $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ coordination sphere is highly distorted from octahedral geometry and that the $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ core is facial. The coordinated ligand forms two five-membered rings, with the pyridine rings in a butterfly formation. The OH group is not involved in metal coordination. The packing of the molecule shows a network of classical $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$, and nonclassical $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonds between the methanol solvate molecules, the metal complex cations and the bromide anions.

## Comment

The pendant design of novel ${ }^{99 m} \mathrm{Tc}$-based radiopharmaceuticals requires the development of suitable bifunctional ligands, which serve two purposes, viz. to hold the radionucleotide securely without leakage in vivo and to provide a side arm for linkage to a bioactive molecule while maintaining maximal integrity of the biomolecule (Liu \& Edwards, 1999). We have recently reported the synthesis of a series of $M^{1}$ binding ligands ( $M=\mathrm{Tc}$ and Re ) based on a lysine-derived bispicolylamine, referred to as a single amino acid chelate (SAAC), which forms inert complexes with the chemically robust $\left[M(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]^{+}$core ( $M={ }^{99 m} \mathrm{Tc}$ and Re) and which can be incorporated into peptides as if it were a natural amino acid (Banerjee et al., 2002; Levadala et al., 2004; Stephenson et al., 2004).

(I)

As part of continuing efforts to prepare new bifunctional ligands, we have recently investigated the maleimide functionalities for the conjugation of sulfhydryl-containing biomolecules (Banerjee et al., 2004). Recent studies have shown that the maleimide derivatives can be conveniently prepared in good yield from the alcohol precursor under Mitsunobu conditions (King et al., 2002). We report here the synthesis and structural characterization of a novel tricarbonylrhenium(I) compound, (I), incorporating the tridentate ligand bispicolyl-2-ethanolamine (L1) with a free OH functionality, which is potentially useful as a precursor for the maleimidecontaining bifunctional linkers. Compound (I) was prepared
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Figure 1
A view of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.
quantitatively by the reaction of the rhenium precursor $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right]$ with the ligand under refluxing methanol conditions. A perspective view of (I) is shown in Fig. 1 and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. There are two independent solvated salts in the asymmetric unit. The bond distances and angles of the two molecules are found to be slightly different. The hydrogenbonded methanol molecules are relatively closer to the Re1 molecule than to the Re2 molecule. These two solvent molecules are responsible for destroying the symmetry of the unit cell and the doubling of the asymmetric unit.

The distorted octahedral coordination environment of Re is defined by the three facially bound CO groups and the secondary amine and pyridine N -atom donors of the ligand. The mirror plane, which contains the OH group, the ethylene backbone, and the Re1/N2/C3/O3 and Re2/N5/C19/O7 groups for the two independent molecules, divides the two pyridinemethylene units symmetrically. The structure of (I) provides an opportunity to observe the effect of rhenium oxidation states on the metal-ligand bond lengths via comparison with $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{L} 1)\right]\left[\mathrm{ReO}_{4}\right] \quad$ [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen, 2002) refcode NOMCEX (Botha et al., 1998)], where L1 serves as an $N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$-tridentate ligand with a pendant OH group as in compound (I), with $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{OCl}(\mathrm{L} 1)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (CSD refcode NOMCAT; Botha et al., 1998), where L 1 acts as an anionic $N, N, N, O$-tetradentate ligand, and with $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{OCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 1)\right]$ (CSD refcode NOMBOG; Botha et al., 1998), where L 1 is an anionic $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-tridentate ligand with a pendant pyridine (py) ring (Botha et al., 1998). The $\operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {py }}$ bond lengths [average 2.171 (6) $\AA$ ] in (I) lie at the longer end of the range of $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}$ bond lengths (2.11$2.17 \AA$ ), possibly revealing the contraction of the metal radius upon increase in oxidation state from I to V. However, the $\mathrm{Re}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ bond distance in $\left[\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{L} 1)\right]$ [ $\mathrm{ReO}_{4}$ ] is longer than all the other $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{V}}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ bonds, including that in (I), by ca $0.1 \AA$, probably as a result of the strong trans influence of the $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{O}$ bond.

The $N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$-chelating ligand forms two five-membered rings, with the two pyridine rings in a butterfly formation. The observed $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{N}$ bite angles in (I) are comparable to the related bite angles in the previously discussed structures containing L1 (Botha et al., 1998). The packing of the molecules shows antiparallel stacks, with the ligand (L1) moieties and the bromide ions from adjacent stacks in a face-to-face orientation. The interplanar distance between two pyridine rings of approximately $3.5 \AA$ is of the same order as the $\pi$-stacking distances in aromatic and charge-transfer compounds (Batchelor et al., 2000; Glusker et al., 1994). The antiparallel arrangement of the ligand moieties facilitates the formation of intermolecular non-classical hydrogen bonding between carbonyl O atoms and pyridine H atoms. Classical intramolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds were observed between the two methanol molecules and between the methanol molecules and the complexes. Classical intramolecular hydrogen bonds (e.g. $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1, \mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \cdots$ Br 2 and $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$ ) and non-classical intramolecular hydrogen bonding (e.g. $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{H} 17 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 2, \mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 26 B \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$,
$\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 26 A \cdots \mathrm{Br} 2, \mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{H} 27 B \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$, etc.) are also present (Table 2). In addition, side-to-side intermolecular hydrogen bonding is observed between adjacent pyridine rings and bromide ions (e.g. $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1)$.

## Experimental

For the synthesis of the ligand $N, N$-bispicolyl-2-ethanolamine (L1), pyridine-2-carbaldehyde ( $7.36 \mathrm{~g}, 0.069 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2-aminoethanol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.033 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloroethane $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution was stirred for 30 min under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to 273 K , sodium triacetoxyborohydride $(16.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.076 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added in small portions and the solution was stirred for another hour at room temperature. Thin-layer chromatography indicated the completion of the reaction at this time. The reaction mixture was quenched with $2 \%$ sodium bicarbonate solution $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic layer containing the product was dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated to a 3 ml volume and purified via routine chromatographic techniques using a silica-gel column. The product was eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5:95) and dried under reduced pressure to give the ligand as a colorless oil (yield $6.10 \mathrm{~g}, 76.76 \%$ ). Analysis found: C 69.30, H 7.08, N $17.30 \%$; $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ requires: C 69.11, H 7.04, N $17.27 \%$. For the preparation of (I), $\mathrm{L} 1(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{3}\right](0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and the resulting solution was refluxed for 2 h . The colorless reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and the solid residue thus obtained was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 10 ml ) and extracted with water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) to remove all the tetraethylammonium bromide obtained as the main side product. The organic layer was concentrated to 2 ml and subjected to chromatographic separation using a silica-gel column. The product was eluted with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (10:90) and evaporated under vacuum to give a colorless solid product (yield $67 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). Analysis found: C 34.95 , H 2.91 , N $7.10 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Re}$ requires: C 34.41, H 2.89, N 7.08\%. Crystals suitable for single-crystal X -ray diffraction were grown by slow diffusion of a solution of (I) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ into a hexane solution at room temperature.

## Crystal data

$\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Br} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=625.49$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=14.962$ (2) A
$b=16.577$ (3) $\AA$
$c=18.117$ (3) A
$\beta=112.881$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=4139.9(11) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
Data collection
Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-
dectector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1997)
$T_{\min }=0.278, T_{\max }=0.508$
52775 measured reflections
$D_{x}=2.007 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 1200 reflections
$\theta=1.5-31.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=7.83 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=91$ (2) K
Parallelepiped, colorless
$0.22 \times 0.14 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}$

13759 independent reflections
10981 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.062$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=31.5^{\circ}$
$h=-22 \rightarrow 22$
$k=-24 \rightarrow 24$
$l=-26 \rightarrow 26$

## Refinement

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0255 P)^{2}\right. \\
+54.5922 P] \\
\text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=6.45 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=-2.59 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Re1-C1 | 1.922 (9) | Re2-N4 | 2.171 (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Re1-C2 | 1.927 (9) | Re2-N5 | 2.233 (6) |
| Re1-C3 | 1.932 (8) | Re2-N6 | 2.171 (6) |
| Re1-N1 | 2.174 (6) | O1-C1 | 1.148 (10) |
| Re1-N2 | 2.225 (6) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.147 (10) |
| Re1-N3 | 2.167 (6) | O3-C3 | 1.160 (9) |
| Re2-C18 | 1.925 (8) | O5-C18 | 1.146 (10) |
| Re2-C19 | 1.927 (8) | O6-C20 | 1.132 (10) |
| Re2-C20 | 1.936 (8) | O7-C19 | 1.150 (10) |
| C1-Re1-C2 | 89.8 (4) | C18-Re2-C19 | 86.4 (3) |
| C1-Re1-C3 | 87.3 (4) | C18-Re2-C20 | 89.2 (4) |
| C1-Re1-N3 | 96.7 (3) | C18-Re2-N4 | 173.3 (3) |
| C2-Re1-N3 | 170.3 (3) | C19-Re2-N4 | 98.5 (3) |
| C1-Re1-N1 | 172.4 (3) | C20-Re2-N4 | 95.7 (3) |
| C2-Re1-N1 | 96.2 (3) | C18-Re2-N6 | 95.5 (3) |
| C3-Re1-N1 | 97.6 (3) | C20-Re2-N6 | 173.5 (3) |
| N3-Re1-N1 | 76.9 (2) | N4-Re2-N6 | 79.3 (2) |
| C3-Re1-N2 | 175.9 (3) | C19-Re2-N5 | 174.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Re} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 78.9 (2) | N4-Re2-N5 | 78.3 (2) |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$ | 0.84 | 2.39 | 3.213 (7) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{O} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 2$ | 0.84 | 2.51 | 3.282 (6) | 153 |
| $\mathrm{O} 9-\mathrm{H} 9 \cdots \mathrm{Br} 1$ | 0.84 | 2.56 | 3.390 (8) | 168 |
| O10-H10 $\cdots$ O9 | 0.84 | 2.27 | 3.059 (16) | 156 |
| C9-H9A $\cdots$ O | 0.99 | 2.57 | 2.969 (10) | 104 |
| $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.37 | 3.299 (8) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{C} 30-\mathrm{H} 30 \cdots \mathrm{O}{ }^{\text {7i }}$ | 0.95 | 2.47 | 3.225 (11) | 137 |
| $\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{H} 17 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{Br} 2^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.99 | 2.87 | 3.788 (10) | 155 |
| $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{H} 22 \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.95 | 2.79 | 3.552 (7) | 138 |
| $\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 26 B \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{1}$ | 0.99 | 2.82 | 3.798 (6) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{C} 27-\mathrm{H} 27 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.99 | 2.83 | 3.798 (8) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{C} 34-\mathrm{H} 34 A \cdots \mathrm{Br}^{\text {v }}$ | 0.99 | 2.90 | 3.724 (9) | 141 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+2$; (ii) $x,-y+\frac{3}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, y-1, z$; (iv) $-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{3}{2} ;($ v) $x-1, y+1, z$.

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and refined as riding, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values of $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ atoms)
and $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ atoms $)$, and with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-0.99 \AA . \mathrm{A}$ residual peak of electron density was located $0.83 \AA$ from atom Re1. This residual density is mainly due to an inadequate absorption correction.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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[^0]:    Refinement on $F^{2}$
    $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.058$
    $w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.132$
    $S=1.18$
    13759 reflections
    511 parameters
    H -atom parameters constrained

